



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,456	04/12/2004	Patrice Nazzaro	S63.2B-14416-US01	4710
490 7590 08/18/2009 VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344				
EXAMINER				
PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3738				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/823,456

Applicant(s)

NAZZARO, PATRICE

Examiner

Brian E. Pellegrino

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,9-12,15,16,18-21,24-26,30-35,38-41,47-55,59-64,79,82,85,88 and 91 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-6,9-12,15,16,18-21,24-26,30-35,38-41,47-55,59-64,79,82,85,88 and 91.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/10/09 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,32,49,79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6,9-12,15,16,18-21,24-26,31-35,38-41,47-55,59-64,79,82,85,88,91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunez et al. (5800514) in view of Yachia et al. (2004/93065) and in view of Liebig et al. (4517687) and Koch (4892539). Nunez et al. show (Figs. 3, 8) an implantable graft having a flat-woven tubular portion (130) and a bulbous woven section (120) contiguous with the first

section. Nunez et al. disclose that the varying diameter implantable graft has a continuous warp yarns to form a seamless body, col. 3, lines 45-47,50,51, 54-58. . Nunez et al. also disclose that the woven graft has the engagingly interlaced yarns between the bulbous end and tubular section are provided with a **seamless** transition, col. 9, lines 58-61. In order to transition from one diameter to another, Nunez discloses the bulbous section includes an increase of at least three warp yarns for every two fill yarns, col. 10, lines 46-52,64,65. Nunez also discloses that a graft can have three sections with the second section being contiguous with the first section and including a different diameter and the third section being different than the second, col. 4, lines 29-45. It can be interpreted that more longer warp yarns are used to form the bulbous portion or larger diameter, since a tubular portion has the same number of warp yarns maintained to keep a constant diameter, (col. 9, lines 12,13,16,17) and thus there is spaced apart locations where the engaging and disengaging of the threads of warp yarns with fill yarns begin and end with the bulbous area. Nunez et al. does disclose the yarn can be monofilament or multifilament, col. 10, lines 15,16.

However, Nunez et al. do not explicitly state the middle section is bulbous or that a third section has a diameter the same as the first section and the bulbous section being radially crimped with the tubular ends free of crimps and the bulbous section comprising a different weave pattern than the tubular sections. Liebig et al. teach (Fig. 3) bulbous sections that are radially crimped, col. 3, lines 1,2. Liebig et al. additionally teach that the crimping will prevent the danger of kinking or collapse of the tubing, col. 2, lines 65-67. Liebig et al. also teach that the tubular section that is not bulbous can be

free from crimps, col. 3, lines 2,3. Koch teaches that different patterns can be used in the graft such that the outer section is one pattern and the inner section is another different pattern, col. 1, lines 52-59. Yachia et al teach (Fig. 6) a graft or stent-graft that has a bulbous section (610) for use in a peristaltic organ, paragraph 38. The two ends of same diameter flanking the central section stabilize the central section, this is known and common sense. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Yachia and include the third section of the same diameter as a first section that flanks a central section for the graft of Nunez et al. such that it better stabilizes the device and can be used in areas such as the esophagus. Additionally it would have been obvious to incorporate crimps in the bulbous section while keeping the tubular ends free of crimps as taught by Liebig et al. with the graft of Nunez et al. as modified with Yachia et al. such that it prevents collapse within the vessel under pressure. Further it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a different weave pattern on the outside of the bulbous section than a weave pattern on the inner section of the tubular ends as taught by Koch with the graft of Nunez et al. as modified with Liebig et al. and Yachia et al. such that rapid tissue ingrowth is promoted at the outer surface and adhesions prevented on the interior per Koch, col. 2, lines 3-8. Regarding claims 2,3,50,51 Nunez discloses the number of warp yarns are equal to maintain the same diameter and thus these sections would be the same, col. 9, lines 12-18.

With respect to claims 4,5,33,34,49,52,53 Nunez discloses the diameter can be about 2mm greater for the bulbous portion than the tubular portion of which can be

10mm. Regarding claims 9,11, by utilizing the different patterns as taught by Koch, it provides different density and denier.

Regarding claims 6,35,55 Nunez et al. also disclose the weave can be a plain, basket, twill or velour type, col. 10, lines 32-35.

With respect to claims 15,16,18,19,38,39,59 Nunez et al. additionally disclose polymers, such as polyethylene can be used for the yarns, col. 10, lines 8,9,14.

Regarding claims 10,12,20,21,40,41,60,61 Nunez et al. disclose the yarns can be single ply, preferably have a denier from 40-300, thus it can be 70, and made of polyester and can be twisted yarns, col. 10, lines 17,18,27-31. Nunez does disclose multiple filaments, but Nunez does not explicitly disclose including 54 filament. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use 54 filaments, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claim 31, Figs. 15,16 of Nunez illustrate multi-lumen tubular structure. Regarding claims 82,85,88,91, Nunez et al. disclose that the number of warp yarns vary as the transition goes from a smaller diameter to a larger diameter, col. 4, lines 25-27.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunez et al. '514 in view of Yachia et al. (2004/93065) and Liebig et al. '687 and Koch '539 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of De Paulis (6352554). Nunez et al. in

view of Yachia, Liebig and Koch is explained supra. However, Nunez as modified by Yachia et al. and Liebig et al. and Koch fail to disclose the graft has a valve. De Paulis teaches (Fig. 5) a vascular graft having a valve in a bulbous, different pattern section of a graft. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a valve as taught by De Paulis in the graft of Nunez et al. as modified by Yachia et al. and Liebig and Koch such that it can be used in the coronary ostia when repairing an aorta.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Pellegrino whose telephone number is 571-272-4756. The examiner can normally be reached on M- F (9am-5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC 3700
/Brian E Pellegrino/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738